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Introduction

- **Old setup**
  - Alarm systems over PSTN
  - Secure

- **New setup**
  - Alarm systems over IP
  - Secure?
Main question:

"Is it possible to perform a burglary without getting noticed by influencing the communication between the alarm system and the control room?"
Sub questions:

- Which attack vectors that targets communication can be used to bypass the alarm system?
- What could be the impact if alarm systems over IP-based networks are vulnerable for different attack vectors?
- Which improvements can be made if alarm systems over IP-based networks are vulnerable for different attack vectors?
Approach

- Traffic capturing part 1
  - Blackbox approach
  - Getting familiarized with the data
  - Recognising information
- Traffic capturing part 2
  - Greybox approach
  - Different events
Network setup

- Hub or bridge
Traffic analysis

- Same packets used every time
  - Registration
  - Activating
  - Deactivating
  - Heartbeat
  - Alarm trigger
- Dedicated ports used for each account
- Each packet is acknowledged
Packet analysis (1)

- Two parts
  - Header
  - Event specific
- Acknowledgement from control room
  - Two versions
  - No repeating pattern
Packet analysis (2)

- Different account code
  - 4 digit number
- Two differences
  - Specific part
  - Header
Packet analysis (3)

- Specific part
  - 4 bytes differ
- Encryption
  - Hex values compared to account code
  - XOR
  - Key = 0xB5
- UDP port number
  - Acknowledgment of registration packet
  - Same encryption as account code
Packet analysis (4)

- Header
  - 2 bytes differ
- Must be account code
- Example encryption
  - Account code: 0011
  - Bytes: 0x00 and 0x11
  - XOR
  - Key = 0x85
Think as a burglar

- Activate alarm on location X, deactivate from location Y.
- Trigger alarms from different accounts.
Attack vectors

- Replay attack
  - Disable / enable alarm
  - Trigger alarm sensors
  - DoS (system and human)
- Brute force attack
Replay attack

- Capturing network traffic
- Working data sets
  - Disabling alarm
  - Triggering sensors
DoS attack

- Overloading control room with fake alarms
  - Impact on availability security guards

- Requirements
  - Data set from a real alarm
  - Port numbers
  - Account code
  - Checksum
**Brute force attack**

- Control room "coorporates"
  - Static registration port used
- Account code + checksum = brute force
  - Account code: 4 digits (0-9) == 10,000 possibilities
  - Checksum: 1 byte == 256 possibilities
  - Total: $10000 \times 256 = 2,560,000$ possibilities
  - Total time needed:
    \[
    \frac{(2560000/2)/60/60/24)}{~~} \approx 15 \text{ days}
    \]
Impact

- PSTN-2-IP sold by different security company's
  - Therefore PSTN-2-IP is actively used
- Newer systems available:
  - Strong encryption
  - Separate vpn routers
  - QoS
Improvements

- Rewrite protocol
- Protection against replay attacks
- Improve confidentiality
  - Avoid replay attacks with account information
- Improve integrity
  - Avoid decrypting payload from packets
- Improve availability
  - Avoid DoS possibilities
Conclusions

"Is it possible to perform a burglary without getting noticed by influencing the communication between the alarm system and the control room?"

- Protocol vulnerable for replay attacks
- No advanced crypto is used
- DoS
- A burglar needs technical knowledge and resources.
"It takes 1,5 hours before a line failure is detected by the control room"
Questions?

- Report soon available at:
  https://www.os3.nl/2009-2010/students/kevin_de_kok/rp1